

Syracuse University College of Law; Institute for the Study of the Judiciary, Politics, and the Media; Syracuse Civics Initiative; the Advocacy Honor Society; Tully Center for Free Speech; and the NDNY-Federal Court Bar Association present

CLE EVALUATION FORM

## United States Supreme Court: The 2023-2024 Term

Friday, September 29, 2023 Syracuse University College of Law

On a scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 being the highest), please rate the following:

1. Did the program present the information that you were promised? \_\_\_\_\_
2. Did the program provide a valuable learning experience? \_\_\_\_\_
3. What is your overall evaluation of the written materials? \_\_\_\_\_
4. What is your overall evaluation of the program (combined)? \_\_\_\_\_
5. Please rate each speaker regarding ABILITY to present subject:

<u>PRESENTER Panel I</u>	Excellent (E), Good (G), Fair (F), Poor (P) <u>CONTENT</u>	<u>ABILITY</u>
<b>Kareem Crayton</b> <i>Brennan Center, Senior Director, Voting Rights and Representation</i>	_____	_____
<b>Jenny S. Breen</b> <i>Associate Professor of Law</i>	_____	_____
<b>Lisa A. Dolak L'88</b> <i>Professor of Law</i>	_____	_____
<b>Hon. Brenda K. Sannes</b> <i>Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York</i>	_____	_____

### PRESENTER Panel II

<b>Bhavan Sodhi</b> <i>Chief Program Officer, Innocence Project</i>	_____	_____
<b>Rajit S. Dosanjh</b> <i>Chief, Appellate Division, United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of New York</i>	_____	_____
<b>Roy S. Gutterman '93, L'00,</b> <i>Professor, Newhouse School of Communications; Director, Tully Center for Free Speech; Professor of Law (by courtesy appointment)</i>	_____	_____

6. The technology used was:

---

---

7. What changes would you recommend for this program?

---

---

8. Suggestions for additional CLE programs:

---

---

9. Additional Comments:

---

---

---

---

---